

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,356	02/21/2001		Johannes-Albert Mieden	SCHULTE	5298
7	7590 0	1/22/2003			
James C Wray				EXAMINER	
Suite 300 1493 Chain Bridge Road McLean, VA 22101				CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3634	
				DATE MAILED: 01/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	9/763,356	MIEDEN, JOHANNES-ALBERT					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	December 2002 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims	ion						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ Claim(s) 20-39 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>35-39</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-34</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement						
Application Papers	or election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: —							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documen							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·						
a) The translation of the foreign language properties. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •						
Attachment(s)	,,						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/763,356

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 20-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed is replete with vague description of the claimed invention, too numerous to mention all, e.g. on page 14, "or better", "special shapes", "common design", "about to scale"; page 15, "partially tightened or braced and pictured", "shaped parts" throughout the specification is vague as it does not specify what shapes these parts are; "even contact surfaces", "newly created contact surfaces", "specially shaped inlet", "quasi layered contact surface", "layered shape of the contact surface", "or better the end piece", "expanded towards the shaff", "features parts", etc.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 20-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

Application/Control Number: 09/763,356

Art Unit: 3634

which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are replete with vague limitations too numerous to mention e.g., in claim 20, it is unclear how the fastener, if the fastener is the bracing element 6, connects the third half brace, if the third half brace is member 7, to the first and second half brace (3,4). Are the bracing elements and the fastener different elements, as suggested by the claim? Is the fastener for tightening the first and second braces comprises "bolts"? from the drawings it appears that only one bolt is used for tightening the first and second braces. The limitation of preventing jamming "inside" the projecting parts is not understood. The phrase "the bracing elements" lacks antecedent basis; in claim 21, what are the wedges "fitting into" the bell shaped parts? "shaped portions"; turning motion "inside" the bell shaped parts; the limitations of claim 22, 26, 27, 28, are not understood, in claim 24, "vertical bevel", "short bevel", "longitudinal bevel", etc.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20-34, as understood and assuming to be definite are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by either British pat' 620 to Burton, Swiss pat. '223, Miller, or Lowe.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,356

Art Unit: 3634

Applicant's election with traverse of fig.2 in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that figs 2 and 9 are not materially different product. This is not found persuasive because it is unclear if applicant is stating that figs 2 and 9 are or are not patentable distinct species, which are the grounds for traversal. If deemed that they are not patentable distinct species, then applicant should clearly state so.

Applicant's arguments filed 2.4.02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Lowe, as understood, shows contact surface at 13, and bolt 17,18 which prevents twisting motion, Miller shows bolt at 21,22 which prevents twisting motion and contact surface at 14.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 703-308-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3634

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-3008-1113.

Alvin C. Chin-Shue Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

ACS January 16, 2003